

Using a Liquid Biopsy, Circulating Tumor Cell Based Approach for Determination of HER2 Amplification Status in Patient Samples

Xiaojie Zhang, M.D.¹, Janet C. Dickerson², Stephenie Jones², Judy Muller-Cohn, Ph.D.², Yipeng Wang, Ph.D.², Rolf Muller, Ph.D.², Kay Yeung, M.D., Ph.D.¹

²BioFluidica, Inc., 3377 Carmel Mountain Road, Suite 100, San Diego, CA 92121



Introduction

- Amplification of HER2 is an important therapeutic and prognostic biomarker.¹ Advances in HER2 targeted therapy have led to improvements in outcomes in patients with HER2+ (FISH+, IHC3+) and HER2_{low} (FISH-, IHC 1+ or 2+) disease.²
- Standard of care approach for determination of HER2 status relies on tissue biopsy. However, HER2 signature can change overtime and is heterogeneous across disease sites.³
- A liquid biopsy-based approach is a non-invasive, quick, and potentially less biased way for determining HER2 status.⁴
- We provide results from a circulating tumor cell (CTC) based HER2 amplification analysis of patients with biopsy-proven HER+, HER2_{iow}, or HER2_{null} (FISH-, IHC 0) breast cancer.

Objectives

- Determine the feasibility of detecting HER2 amplified CTCs in whole blood samples of patients with biopsy proven HER2+ localized and metastatic breast cancer (MBC).
- Compare HER2 amplification status using CTCs to tissue biopsy results in patients with HER2+, HER2_{low}, and HER2_{null} breast cancer
- Assess prognostic implications of the presence vs absence of HER2 amplified CTCs in metastatic HER2_{low} breast cancer.

Methods



Sample Collection: Whole blood from 27 consenting patients with biopsy proven stage II-IV breast cancer was collected at diagnosis for localized disease, and at diagnosis or progression for metastatic disease. CTC Isolation: Whole blood is processed by the LiquidScan device via microfluidic chips with channels coated with antibody to human epithelial cell adhesion molecule. Non-immobilized cells are washed away. Remaining cells are released from the chip using a restriction enzyme then deposited onto slides.

CTC and FISH Analysis: Cells were stained for pan-cytokeratin, CD45, and with the nuclear dye Hoechst 33342 to identify CTCs (cytokeratin+, CD45-). Probes for HER2/neu gene and centromere of chromosome 17 (CEP17) are used for FISH analysis.





Two HER2 amplified CTCs minimum required for sample to be HER2+

8 (44.4%)

l	Subgroups	Number (Percent)
	HER2 Status by Tissue Biopsy HER2 _{null} HER2 _{low} HER2+	6 (22.2%) 14 (51.8%) 7 (25.9%)
	Stage II-III IV	9 (33.3%) 18 (66.7%)
	HER2+ CTC detection rate by tissue HER2 status HER2 _{null} by biopsy HER2 _{low} by biopsy HER2+ by biopsy	1 (16.7%) 8 (57.1%) 6 (85.7%)
	HER2+ CTC detection rate: localized vs metastatic	6 (75%)



HER2: TRITC (red)

CEP17: FITC (green)





Discussion

- We were able to demonstrate the use of a novel, non-invasive, CTC based method that could aid identification of HER2 amplification in patients with breast cancer.
- HER2 amplified CTCs were detectable in both localized and metastatic settings.
- HER amplification by CTC analysis compared favorably with that of tissue biopsy results for both HER2+ and HER2_{null} breast cancer.
- A subset of patients with HER2_{low} disease had detectable HER2 amplified CTCs. The presence vs absence of these CTCs may carry prognostic importance.

Conclusion

- To date, as a non-invasive approach to diagnosis, liquid biopsy has seen increasing utility in aiding cancer prognosis and therapeutic decision making. However, its role in clinical practice for breast cancer remains to be further explored.
- In our study, we demonstrated the feasibility of detecting HER2 amplified CTCs in blood samples of patients with biopsy-proven breast cancer, both in treatment naïve early stage disease as well as in the metastatic setting. We highlighted the potential prognostic role of the presence of HER2 amplified CTCs in the setting of HER2_{low} breast cancer, though this study needs to be expanded to more patients.
- Future studies should additionally evaluate how HER2 amplified CTCs change over time with treatment. This would allow for elucidation on its role as a potential marker for therapeutic response.

References

- 1. Cooke, T., et al., *HER2* as a prognostic and predictive marker for breast cancer. Ann Oncol, 2001. 12 Suppl 1: p. S23-8.
- Swain, S.M., M. Shastry, and E. Hamilton, *Targeting HER2-positive breast cancer: advances and future directions*. Nat Rev Drug Discov, 2023. 22(2): p. 101-126.
- 3. Rye, I.H., et al., Intratumor heterogeneity defines treatment-resistant HER2+ breast tumors. Mol Oncol, 2018. 12(11): p. 1838-1855.
- 4. Ring, A., et al., *Biology, vulnerabilities and clinical applications of circulating tumour cells.* Nat Rev Cancer, 2023. 23(2): p. 95-111.